Assessment of Character Association in Pole Type French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Genotypes

Vittal Mangi [a++*], Shashikanth Evoor [b#], Raveendra S. Jawadagi [c†], A. P. Biradar [d‡], Sanjeevreddy G. Reddy [e^] and M. D. Patil [f##]

[a] *Department of Horticulture, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot-587 104 (Karnataka), India.*
[b] *Directorate of Education, UHS, Bagalkot- 587 104 (Karnataka), India.*
[c] *Department of Vegetable Science, CoH, UHS, Bagalkot- 587 104 (Karnataka), India.*
[d] *Department of Agricultural Entomology, CoA, Vijayapura, UAS, Dharwad- 580 005, (Karnataka), India.*
[e] *Department of Agronomy, CoH, UHS, Bagalkot- 587 104 (Karnataka), India.*
[f] *AICRP on MULLaRP, UAS, Dharwad- 580 005 (Karnataka), India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

_____

[++] *Ph.D (Horticulture) Ph.D Scholar;*
[#] *Associate Professor and Technical Officer;*
[†] *Professor and Head;*
[‡] *Professor;*
[^] *Assistant Professor;*
[##] *Scientist (Plant Breeding);*
Corresponding author: E-mail: vittalmangi@gmail.com;

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Vijayapura during *Rabi* 2022-23. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with two replications. To study the association studies in 32 pole type French bean genotypes. The correlation studies revealed that pod yield per plant was found positively and significantly associated with number of pods per plant (r_g=0.668 and r_p=0.620), pod length (r_g= 0.526 and r_p =0.431), average 10 pod weight (r_g= 0.657 and r_p = 0.660), number of pods per cluster (r_g=0.373 and r_p= 0.344) and number of clusters per plant (r_g =0.365 and r_p= 0.345) at both genotypic and phenotypic level. The direct selection for these traits would be rewarding for improvement of pod yield per plant in pole type French bean.

Keywords: Character association; pole type french bean.

1. INTRODUCTION

French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is one of the most common and widely grown vegetable crop in India with a chromosome number of 2n=22. According to Vavilov (1950) the origin of French bean is Southern Mexico and Central America, while, Peruvian-Ecuadorian-Bolivian area is considered to be secondary centre of origin. It was originated from wild species *Phaseolus aborigineus* (L.) and domesticated in Mexico, Peru and Colombia about 8000 years ago.

It is cultivated all over the world and has a wide geographical distribution. French beanis mainly used for immature green pods. Rajmash or dried pods are utilized as a pulse and provide a good source of proteins for humans (Abate, 2006). Immature pods are eaten fresh and can be easily preserved by freezing, canning or dehydrating. Dried beans are eaten as boiled, baked, fried or ground into flour. It has highly nutritious as 100 g of green pods contain 1.7 g protein, 4.5 g carbohydrates, 221 IU vitamin A, 11 mg vitamin C and 50 mg calcium (Gopalakrishnan, 2007).

Yield is a complex character influenced by several genetic factors interacting with environment. Success of any breeding programme for its improvement depends on the existing genetic variability in the base population and efficiency of selection. A study of correlation between different quantitative characters provides an idea of association. It could be effectively exploited to formulate selection strategies for improving yield and quality. Association of characters like yield, its components and other economical traits is important for making selection in the French bean breeding programme. It suggests the advantage of a scheme of selection for more than one character at a time (Kalloo. 1994).Further in order to have clearer picture of yield components for effective selection progamme, it would be desirable to consider the relative magnitude of association of various characters with yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Vijayapura during *Rabi* 2022-23. Thirty two pole type of French bean genotypes (Table 1) were sown in randomized block design with two replications. The ridges and furrows were opened at 120 cm and seeds of different genotypes were sown by dibbling on one side of the ridge at 30 cm and plots were irrigated immediately after the completion of sowing. Wherever, seeds did not germinate, gaps were filled by re-sowing seeds within a week. All other activities were carried out as per the recommended package of practices (RPP) given by University of Horticultural Sciences (UHS), Bagalkot (Anon., 2022) to raise the crop. Observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotype for fourteen characters *viz.*, plant height (cm) at 90 DAS, number of primary branches at 60 DAS, days to first flowering, days to 50 per cent flowering, days to first pod picking, number of pods per plant, pod length (cm), pod width (cm), average 10 pod weight (g), number of pods per cluster, number of clusters per plant, number of seeds per pod, seed test weight (g) and pod yield per plant (g).

Table 1. List of pole type French bean genotypes used in the experiment

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Source of collection
1	IC-636224	
2	IC-636225	
3	IC-636226	
4	IC-636241	
5	IC-636245	
6	IC-641919	
7	IC-280818	
8	IC-341797	National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources
9	IC-341807	(NBPGR), New Delhi
10	IC-341922	
11	IC-636240	
12	IC-430379	
13	IC- 313309	
14	EC-398555	
15	IC-582514	
16	IC-538073	
17	IC-538039	
18	IC-328398	
19	IC-326978	
20	IC-326977	
21	IC-026624	
22	IC-632961	
23	IC- 313320	
24	IC-582511	
25	IC-538077	
26	IIHR-01	Indian Institute of Horticultural Research
27	IIHR-02	(IIHR), Bangaluru
28	Arka Sukomol	
29	Lakshmi	Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry (Dr.YSPUHF), Solan
30	Marlida	Raitha Seeds Company PVT
31	Super King	Ashoka Seeds Company PVT
32	US-2	

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic variability studies reveal how much a given quality can be improved upon, but they don't explain the nature or depth of the correlations that exist between different characteristics. Because there may not be genes for yield *per se*, but rather for different yield components, selection for yield components is necessary for a viable approach to yield improvement (Grafius, 1959). Moreover, there's a chance that a lot of these supporting individuals will interact in both positive and negative ways. Thus, it is crucial for understanding the correlations between different traits

The phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients for yield and quality components in pole type French bean genotypes were investigated in this study. In the current findings (Tables 2 and 3), the observed difference between genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients were narrow for multiple traits, indicating a decreased influence of environment on the expression of these traits and the presence of strong intrinsic link among the traits. As a result, only genotypic associations are presented.

Pod yield per plant (Table 2) was found positively and significantly (at p=0.01) associated with number of pods per plant (r_g=0.668), pod length (r_g= 0.526), average 10 pod weight (r_g= 0.657), number of pods per cluster (r_g=0.373) and number of clusters per plant (r_g =0.365) at genotypic level. Whereas, pod yield per plant was found positively and significantly (at p=0.05) associated with plant height at 90 DAS (r_g= 0.293), pod width (r_g

477

=0.312) and number of seeds per pod (r_g = 0.355) at genotypic level. But it was negatively and significantly (at p=0.01) associated with days to first pod picking (r_g= -0.348) at genotypic level. Hence, direct selection for yield and yield components could be made for improving yield. Similar result of pod yield per plant was also reported by Guruprasad (2023), Murry et al. (2022), Mesera et al. (2022), Shah et al. (2021), Punithkumar (2021), Singh et al. (2020), Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019), Lyngdoh et al. (2018), Singh et al. (2018), Patil (2018), Panchbhaiya et al. (2017), Alemu et al. (2017), Lad et al. (2017) and Panda et al. (2016).

The plant height at 90 DAS had positive and significant (at p=0.01) correlation with days to first flowering (0.592), days to 50 per cent flowering (0.476), average 10 pod weight (0.409), number of pods per cluster (0.440) and number of seeds per pod (0.489). While, it had significant (at p= 0.05) and positive association with pod length (0.296) and pod yield per plant (0.293). But, it had negative and significant (at p= 0.01) association with number of primary branches at 60 DAS (-0.350), days to first pod picking (-0.477) and number of clusters plant (-0.330). The character plant height was also reported by Guruprasad (2023), Punithkumar (2021), Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019), Lyngdoh et al. (2018), Jhanavi (2016), Alemuet al. (2017) and Panda et al. (2016).

A significant (at p= 0.01) and positive correlation of number of primary branches at 60 DAS with seed test weight (0.524). It had significant (at p= 0.05) and positive association with number of clusters per plant (0.304). While, it had negative and significant (at p= 0.01) association with number of pods per cluster (-0.496) and number of seeds per pod (-0.719) and it was negative and significant (at p= 0.05) association with pod length (-0.262). The trait number of primary branches was also studied by Punithkumar (2021) and Lyngdoh et al. (2017).

It was noted that days to first flowering significant (at p=0.01) and positive association with days to 50 per cent flowering (0.970), days to first pod picking (0.774), pod length (0.884), average 10 pod weight (0.944), number of pods per cluster (0.690) and number of seeds per pod (0.505). Whereas, this trait had negative (at p=0.05) and significant association with number of pods per plant (-0.836), number of clusters per plant (-0.931) and seed test weight (-0.734). The character days to first flowering was found

with similar results by Guruprasad (2023) and Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019).

It was evident that days to 50 per cent flowering was positively and significantly (at p=0.01) correlated with days to first pod picking (0.806), pod length (0.661), average 10 pod weight (0.729) and number of pods per cluster (0.464). But, this trait had significant (at p=0.05) and positive association with number of seeds per pod (0.308) and pod width (0.252). Whereas, it had significant (at p=0.01) and negative association with number of pods per plant (-0.770), number of clusters per plant (-0.801) and seed test weight (-0.519). The parameter days to 50 per cent flowering was also reported by Guruprasad (2023), Panchbhaiya et al. (2017), Lad et al. (2017) and Panda et al. (2016).

A significant (at p=0.01) and positive association of days to first pod picking with pod length (0.376), pod width (0.452), average 10 pod weight (0.407), number of pods per cluster (0.415). Whereas, it had significant (at p=0.01) and negative association with number of pods per plant (0.948), number of clusters per plant (-0.833), seed test weight (-0.331) and pod yield per plant (0.348). The similar outcome of days to first pod picking was also studied by Punithkumar (2021) and Panda et al. (2016).

It was recorded that number of pods per plant had significant (at p=0.01) and positive correlation with number of clusters per plant (0.811) and pod yield per plant (0.668). But, this trait had significant (at p=0.05) and positive association with seed test weight (0.306). While, this trait had negative (at p=0.05) and significant association with number of seeds per pod (-0.257). The similar outcome of number of pods per plant was also confirmed with Guruprasad (2023), Punithkumar (2021),Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019), Alemu et al. (2017) and Panda et al. (2016).

It was recorded that pod length had significant (at p=0.01) and positive association with pod width (0.451), average 10 pod weight (0.894), number of pods per cluster (0.597), number of seeds per pod (0.565) and pod yield per plant (0.526). Whereas, this character had significant (at p=0.01) and negative association with number of clusters per plant (-0.417) and significant (at p=0.05) seed test weight (-0.286). The parameter of pod length was also aligned with Guruprasad (2023), Panchbhaiya et al. (2017), Lyngdoh et al. (2018) and Panda et al. (2016).

Table 2. Genotypic correlation coefficients among yield and yield components in pole type French bean genotypes

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	1.000	-0.350**	0.592**	0.476**	-0.477**	-0.085	0.296*	-0.055	0.409**	0.440**	-0.330**	0.489**	-0.159	0.293*
2		1.000	0.069	0.056	0.107	-0.010	-0.262*	0.155	-0.135	-0.496**	0.304*	-0.719**	0.524**	-0.098
3			1.000	0.970**	0.774**	-0.836**	0.884**	0.223	0.944**	0.690**	-0.931**	0.505**	-0.734**	0.118
4				1.000	0.806**	-0.770**	0.661**	0.252*	0.729**	0.464**	-0.801**	0.308*	-0.519**	0.013
5					1.000	-0.948**	0.376**	0.452**	0.407**	0.415**	-0.833**	-0.031	-0.331**	-0.348**
6						1.000	-0.192	0.009	-0.130	-0.054	0.811**	-0.257*	0.306*	0.668**
7							1.000	0.451**	0.894**	0.597**	-0.417**	0.565**	-0.286*	0.526**
8								1.000	0.415**	-0.157	0.159	-0.005	0.278*	0.312*
9									1.000	0.581**	-0.330**	0.571**	-0.099	0.657**
10										1.000	-0.616**	0.797**	-0.521**	0.373**
11											1.000	-0.652**	0.547**	0.365**
12												1.000	-0.690**	0.355**
13													1.000	0.191
14														1.000

*Critical r_g value at 1 per cent = 0.319, Critical r_g value at 5 per cent = 0.246, **indicates significant at P=0.01 *indicates significant at P= 0.05*

Characters:

1. Plant height (cm) at 90 DAS
2. Number of primary branches at 60 DAS
3. Days to first flowering
4. Days to 50 per cent flowering

5. Days to first pod picking
6. Number of pods per plant
7. Pod length (cm)
8. Pod width (cm)

9. Average 10 pod weight (g)
10. Number of pods per cluster
11. Number of clusters per plant
12. Number of seeds per pod

13. Seed test weight (g)
14. Pod yield per plant (g)

Table 3. Phenotypic correlation coefficients among yield and yield components in pole type French bean genotypes

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	1.000	-0.258*	0.355**	0.300*	-0.198	-0.046	0.210	-0.041	0.292*	0.281*	-0.270*	0.433**	-0.142	0.180
2		1.000	-0.125	-0.104	0.033	-0.016	-0.187	0.213	-0.111	-0.434**	0.272*	-0.484**	0.371**	-0.051
3			1.000	0.941**	0.523**	-0.352*	0.412**	-0.025	0.302*	0.352**	-0.430**	0.344**	-0.318*	-0.069
4				1.000	0.602**	-0.405**	0.406**	-0.017	0.310*	0.286*	-0.448**	0.292*	-0.279*	-0.091
5					1.000	-0.397**	0.198	0.007	0.113	0.118	-0.335**	0.100	-0.139	-0.251*
6						1.000	-0.168	0.001	-0.136	-0.063	0.801**	-0.217	0.297*	0.620**
7							1.000	0.360**	0.767**	0.490**	-0.364**	0.485**	-0.260*	0.431**
8								1.000	0.295*	-0.169	0.132	-0.097	0.187	0.228
9									1.000	0.517**	-0.317*	0.404**	-0.073	0.660**
10										1.000	-0.579**	0.594**	-0.413**	0.344**
11											1.000	-0.542**	0.516**	0.345**
12												1.000	-0.605**	0.140
13													1.000	0.180
14														1.000

Critical r_g value at 1 per cent = 0.319, Critical r_g value at 5 per cent = 0.246,   **indicates significant at P=0.01 *indicates significant at P= 0.05

Characters:

1. Plant height (cm) at 90 DAS
2. Number of primary branches at 60 DAS
3. Days to first flowering
4. Days to 50 per cent flowering

5. Days to first pod picking
6. Number of pods per plant
7. Pod length (cm)
8. Pod width (cm)

9. Average 10 pod weight (g)
10. Number of pods per cluster
11. Number of clusters per plant
12. Number of seeds per pod

13. Seed test weight (g)
14. Pod yield per plant (g)

It was recorded that pod width had significant (at p=0.01) and positive association with average 10 pod weight (0.415). Whereas, this trait had significant (at p=0.05) and positive association with seed test weight (0.278) and pod yield per plant (0.312). The outcome of pod width was also similar with Lyngdoh et al. (2018) and Panda et al. (2016).

It was observed that average 10 pod weight exhibited positive and significant (at p=0.01) relationship with number of pods per cluster (0.581), number of seeds per pod (0.571) and pod yield per plant (0.657). Whereas, this character had significant (at p=0.01) and negative association with number of clusters per plant (-0.330). Similar result of average 10 pod weight was also reported by Guruprasad (2023), Punithkumar (2021), Singh et al. (2020), Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019)and Panda et al. (2016),

It was reported that number of pods per cluster was positively and significantly (at p=0.01) relationship with number of seeds per pod (0.797) and pod yield per plant (0.373). While, this trait had significant (at p=0.01) and negative relationship with number of clusters per plant (-0.616) and seed test weight (-0.521). The result of number of pods per cluster was also parallel with Guruprasad (2023), Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019), Mammo et al. (2019) and Panda et al. (2016).

It was noted that number of clusters per plant was positively and significantly (at p=0.01) relationship with seed test weight (0.547) and pod yield per plant (0.365). Whereas, this trait had significant (at p=0.01) and negative association with number of seeds per pod (-0.652). The similar result of number of clusters per plant was also supported by Panchbhaiya et al. (2017).

It was noted that number of seeds per pod had significant (at p=0.05) and positive association with pod yield per plant (0.355). But, this trait had significant (at p=0.01) and negative association with seed test weight (-0.690). The outcome of number of seeds per pod was also reported by Al-Ballat and Al-Araby (2019), Mammo et al. (2019), Thirugnanavel et al. (2019), Panchbhaiya et al. (2017) and Ejara et al. (2017).

4. CONCLUSION

Results obtained from the present investigation, it can be concluded that the selection for number of pods per plant pod length, average 10 pod weight, number of pods per cluster and number of clusters per plant could be criteria for simultaneously increasing pod yield per plant and selection of these traits will be helpful in developing high yielding genotypes in pole type French bean.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Abate G., 2006, The market for fresh snap beans. Working paper. The strategic marketing institute, Ethiopia, pp. 6-8.

Al-Ballat I. A. and Al-Araby A. A. A. M., 2019, Correlation and path coefficient analysis for seed yield and some of its traits in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Egyptian J. Hort.,* 46 (1): 41-51.

Alemu Y., Alamirew S. and Dessalegn L. 2017, Correlation and path analysis of green pod yield and its components in Snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes. *Int. J. Res. Agric. Fores.,* 4: 30-36.

Anonymous, 2022, Improved cultivation practices of horticulture crops (Kannada). Univ. Hort. Sci., Bagalkot, 90-92.

Ejara E., Mohammed W. and Amsalu B., 2017, Correlations and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield related traits in common bean genotypes (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) at abaya and yabello, southern Ethiopia. *Int. J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci.,* 4: 215-224.

Gopalakrishan T. R., 2007, Vegetable crops, 4: 161-168.

Grafius J. E., 1959, Correlation and path analysis in barley. *Agron. J.,* 51: 551- 554.

Guruprasad T. R., 2023,Geneticvariability and diversity studies in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis,* Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India).

Jhanavi D. R., 2016, Variability and genetic diversity studies in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *M. Sc. (Hort.)*

*Thesis,*Univ. Hort. Sci., Bagalkot, Karnataka (India).

Kalloo G.. 1994, Vegetable Breeding, Panima Educational Book Agency, New Delhi.

Lad D. B., Longmei N. and Borle U. M., 2017, Studies on genetic variability, association of characters and path analysis in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Int. J. Pure Appl. Biosci.,* 5(6): 1065-1069.

Lyngdoh Y. A., Thapa U., Shadap A. and Tomar B. S., 2018, Studies on genetic variability and character association for yield and yield related traits in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Legume Res. Int. J.,* 41 (6): 810-815.

Mammo K., Wegary D., Lule D. and Mekbib F., 2019, Genetic variability of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes under sole and maize-bean cropping systems in bako, western oromia, Ethiopia. *African J. Agric. Res.,* 14 (7): 419-429.

Mesera E., Shifaraw G., Alamerew S. and Amsalu B., 2022, Genetic variability analysis and association of traits in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) landraces collected from Ethiopia at Jimma. *Advances in Agric.,* 1-14.

Murry N., Kanaujia S. P., Jha A., Shah P. and Ananda A., 2022, Studies of genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient for various characters in French bean. *SAARC J. Agric.,* 20 (2).

Panchbhaiya A., Singh D. K. and Jain S. K., 2017, Inter-characters association studies for morphological, yield and yield attributes in the germplasm of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in tarai region of Uttarakhand, India*. Legume Res. Int. J.,* 40 (1): 196-199.

Panda A., Paul A. and Mohapatra P., 2016, A study on variability, character association and path analysis for pod yield in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Int. j. bio-resource, Stress Manag.,* 7 (1): 33-39.

Patil H. E., 2018, Genetic analysis for pod yield and quality traits in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Int. J. Gen.,* 0975-2862.

Punithkumar 2021,Variability and divergence studies in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes. *M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis,* Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India).

Shah K. N., Rana D. K. and Singh V., 2021, Variability and trait relation between yield and yield related traits in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Plant Archives,* 21 (1):1090-1097.

Singh D. K., Singh D. P. and Singh S. S., 2018, Studies of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and related traits in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *J. Pharma. Phytochem.,* 7 (2): 236-240.

Singh Y. P., Lalramhlimi B., Singh P. R., Dutta T. and Chattopadhyay S. B., 2020, Studies on genetic variability components and character association of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) for growth, yield and quality attributes under the gangetic alluvial plains of West Bengal. *Int. J. Chem. Studies,*8 (3): 856-861.

Thirugnanavel A, Deka B. C., Kumar R., Rangnamei L. and Meyase M., 2019, Genetic diversity, correlation and path coefficient analysis of Rajmash bean (*Phaseolus vulgari*s L.) landraces in low altitude of Nagaland. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.,* 89: 26-33.

Vavilov N. I., 1950, The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronica Botanica*, 13: 1-364.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125746