

Research Article

On Regularity Criteria via Pressure for the 3D MHD Equations in a Half Space

Jae-Myoung Kim 

Department of Mathematics Education, Andong National University, Andong 36729, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Jae-Myoung Kim; jmkim02@anu.ac.kr

Received 5 March 2022; Accepted 30 June 2022; Published 26 July 2022

Academic Editor: Ricardo Weder

Copyright © 2022 Jae-Myoung Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, we give regularity criteria in terms of the magnetic pressure in Lorentz spaces.

1. Introduction

We study the regularity issues for suitable weak solutions $(u, b, \pi): Q_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ of 3D incompressible magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - (b \cdot \nabla)b = -\nabla \left(p + \frac{|b|^2}{2} \right), \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} - \Delta b + (u \cdot \nabla)b - (b \cdot \nabla)u = 0 \text{ on } \Omega_T := \mathbb{R}_{ss+}^3 \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} b = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ and } b(x, 0) = b_0(x). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here, u is the fluid flow, b is the magnetic vector field, and $\pi = p + (|b|^2/2)$ is the total scalar pressure. We consider equation (1) with boundary conditions defined as follows: either

$$(B1) \quad u = 0, b \cdot n = 0, (\nabla \times b) \times n = 0, \quad (2)$$

or

$$(B2) \quad u \cdot n = 0, (\nabla \times u) \times n = 0, b \cdot n = 0, (\nabla \times b) \times n = 0, \quad (3)$$

where n is the outward unit normal vector along boundary $\partial\mathbb{R}_+^3$.

In pioneering works [1, 2], it has been shown that global-in time weak solutions to the MHD equations exist in finite energy space and strong solutions can exist locally-in time. In other words, the weak solutions exist globally in time; however, if a weak solution (u, b) are furthermore in $L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$, they become regular. The regular solution means that $\|u\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} + \|b\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} < \infty$. The uniqueness and regularity of weak solutions to (1) have been left the question open. The authors in [3], very recently, the existence of global weak solutions to the 3D MHD equations via new energy control methods are inspired of a recent work [4]. On the other hand, for nonuniqueness, the author in [5] nonunique weak solutions in Leray-Hopf class are constructed for (1) in a whole space based on appreciated convex integration framework developed in a recent work of Buckmaster and Vicol [6]. In the regularity theory of weak solutions to fluid equations, the role of the pressure is very important (see [7, 8]); in particular, it is a more important issue for the boundary value problems. In present paper, we obtain the scaling invariant regularity criterion by focusing on the (magnetic) pressure function.

Note that equation (1) has the following scale:

$$\begin{aligned} u_\lambda &= \lambda u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \\ b_\lambda &= \lambda b(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \\ \pi_\lambda &= \lambda^2 \pi(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t), \\ \lambda &> 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For the regularity conditions in Sobolev space, the results in terms of magnetic pressure and the gradient of magnetic pressure for (1) in \mathbb{R}^3 were obtained by Zhou [9] with some magnetic field condition (see also [10–15]). After that, Duan [16] showed $\pi \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $2/p + 3/q = 2$, $q > 3/2$ or $\nabla\pi \in L^p(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $2/p + 3/q = 3$, $q > 1$.

On the other hand, for the regularity criteria in Lorentz space, He and Wang [17] proved that a weak solution (u, b) for 3D MHD equations becomes regular under the scaling invariant conditions, the so-called Serrin's conditions, $u \in L^{q,\infty}(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $3/p + 2/q \leq 1$ and $p > 3$ or $\nabla u \in L^{q,\infty}(0, T; L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $3/p + 2/q \leq 2$ and $p > 3/2$ (compared to [7, 18–26] for Navier-Stokes equations). In particular, for the magnetic pressure, Suzuki [24, 25] proved the regularity criteria to the Navier-Stokes equations in the Lorentz space under the assumption for the pressure via the truncation method introduced by [27]; namely, if $\pi \in L^{p,\infty}(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\|\pi\|_{L^{p,\infty}(0,T;L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \varepsilon$ with $2/p + 3/q = 2$, $5/2 < q < \infty$ or $\nabla\pi \in L^{p,\infty}(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{p,\infty}(0,T;L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \varepsilon$ with $2/p + 3/q = 3$, $5/3 \leq q < 3$, (u, b) is regular.

In this respect, the main results in the present paper are stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (u, b, π) is a weak solution to (1) with the divergence-free initial data $u_0, b_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3) \cap W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$, $q > 3$. Then, there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $u(x, t)$ is a regular solution on $(0, T]$ provided that one of the following two conditions holds:*

(A) *Under the boundary condition (B2), $\pi \in L^{p,\infty}(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ and*

$$\|\pi\|_{L^{p,\infty}(0,T;L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ with } 2/p + 3/q = 2, 3/2 < q < \infty \quad (5)$$

(B) *Under the boundary conditions (B1) or (B2),*

$$\nabla\pi \in L^p(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)) \text{ with } 2/p + 3/q = 3, 1 < q < \infty \quad (6)$$

Remark 2. Theorem 1 is worth to extend the results of Theorem 4.1 in [28] to the Lorentz space in \mathbb{R}_+^3 . The result of Theorem 1 is naturally expandable for the n -dimensional half space with aid of Sobolev embedding and Calderon-Zygmund inequalities.

Remark 3. Unlike the results in [29], Theorem 1 is valuable as a result of considering boundary conditions.

Remark 4. In light of the approach in [30], under the boundary conditions (B2), we can show the regularity condition of

weak solutions to (1) with one component of the gradient of pressure, namely,

$$\partial_{x_3}\pi \in L^p(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)) \text{ with } 2/p + 3/q \leq 2, 1 < q < \infty. \quad (7)$$

Remark 5. In part (B) of Theorem 1, unfortunately, it does not obtain a similar result as (A) due to the difficulty of controlling the pressure function from the complexity of mixed term for w^+ and w^- (see Remark 11).

For the Navier-Stokes equations with boundary data (B1) or (B2), Theorem 1 immediately implies.

Corollary 6. *Suppose that (u, p) is a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations. Then, there exists a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $u(x, t)$ is a regular solution on $(0, T]$ provided that one of the following two conditions holds:*

(A) *Under the boundary condition (B2), $\pi \in L^{p,\infty}(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ and*

$$\|\pi\|_{L^{p,\infty}(0,T;L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ with } 2/p + 3/q = 2, 3/2 < q < \infty \quad (8)$$

(B) *Under the boundary conditions (B1) or (B2), $\nabla\pi \in L^{p,\infty}(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ and*

$$\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{p,\infty}(0,T;L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ with } 2/p + 3/q = 3, 1 < q < \infty \quad (9)$$

The proof of Corollary 6 is same to that in [31] and thus it is omitted.

2. Notations and Some Auxiliary Lemmas

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, the notation $L^p(0, T; X)$ stands for the set of measurable functions $f(x, t)$ on the interval $(0, T)$ with values in X and $\|f(\cdot, t)\|_X$ belonging to $L^p(0, T)$. The space $W^{k,2}(\Omega)$ is denoted the standard Sobolev space. For a function $f(x, t)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, we denote $\|f\|_{L_{x,t}^{p,q}(\Omega \times I)} = \|f\|_{L_t^q(L_x^p(\Omega))} = \|\|f\|_{L_x^p(\Omega)}\|_{L_t^q(I)}$. C is a generic constant.

We recall first the definition of weak solutions.

Definition 7 (weak solutions). The vector-valued function (u, b) is called a weak solution of (1) on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^3$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$(1) \quad (u, b) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$$

$$(2) \quad \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} b = 0 \text{ in the sense of distribution}$$

$$(3) \quad \text{For any function } \psi(t, x) \in C_0^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+^3) \text{ with } \operatorname{div} \psi = 0, \text{ there hold}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \{u \cdot \psi_t - \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi + \nabla \psi : (u \otimes u - b \otimes b)\} dx dt &= 0, \\ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \{b \cdot \psi_t - \nabla b \cdot \nabla \psi + \nabla \psi : (u \otimes b - b \otimes u)\} dx dt &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Next, we give some basic facts. For $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, we define

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} = \begin{cases} \left(p \int_0^\infty \alpha^q |\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > \alpha\}|^{q/p} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{1/q}, & q < \infty, \\ \sup_{\alpha > 0} \alpha |\{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : |f(x)| > \alpha\}|^{1/p}, & q = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

And thus,

$$L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^3) = \left\{ f : f \text{ is a measurable function on } \mathbb{R}_+^3 \text{ and } \|f\|_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} < \infty \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Followed in [32], the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)$ may be defined by real interpolation methods

$$L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^3) = (L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}_+^3), L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))_{\alpha,q}, \quad (13)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\alpha}{p_1} + \frac{\alpha}{p_2}, \quad 1 \leq p_1 < p < p_2 \leq \infty, \quad (14)$$

that is,

$$L^{2p/(p-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3) = (L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3), L^6(\mathbb{R}_+^3))_{3/2p,2}. \quad (15)$$

We list some lemmas for our analysis.

Lemma 8. ([33]). Assume $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty, 1 \leq q_1, q_2 \leq \infty, u \in L^{p_1,q_1}(\Omega)$, and $v \in L^{p_2,q_2}(\Omega)$. Then, $uv \in L^{p_3,q_3}(\Omega)$ with $1/p_3 = (1/p_1) + (1/p_2)$ and $1/q_3 \leq (1/q_1) + (1/q_2)$, and the inequality

$$\|uv\|_{L^{p_3,q_3}(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{L^{p_1,q_1}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{p_2,q_2}(\Omega)} \quad (16)$$

is valid.

Lemma 9 ([20, 34, 35]). Let $T > 0$ and $\phi \in L_{loc}([0, T])$ be nonnegative function. Assume further that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) &\leq C_0 + C_1 \int_0^t \mu(s) \phi(s) ds \\ &+ \kappa \int_0^t \lambda(s)^{1-\varepsilon} \phi(s)^{1+A(\varepsilon)} ds, \quad \forall 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\kappa, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ are constants, $\mu \in L^1(0, T)$, and $A(\varepsilon) > 0$ satisfies $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} A(\varepsilon)/\varepsilon = c_0 > 0$. Then ϕ is bounded on $[0, T]$ if $\|\lambda\|_{L^{1,\infty}(0,T)} < c_0^{-1} \kappa^{-1}$.

Lemma 10 ([31]). Assume that the pair (p, q) satisfies $(2/p) + (3/q) = a$ with $a, q \geq 1$ and $p > 0$. Then, for every $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ and given $b, c_0 \geq 1$, there exist $p_\kappa > 0$ and $\min\{q, b\} \leq q_\kappa \leq \max\{q, b\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{p_\kappa} + \frac{3}{q_\kappa} = a, \\ \frac{p_\kappa}{q_\kappa} = \frac{p(1-\kappa)}{q} + \frac{c_0 \kappa}{b}. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

3. Proof of Theorems: Half Space Case

Proof of Theorem 1. We rewrite equation (1) with $w^+ = u + b$ and $w^- = u - b$:

$$\begin{cases} w_t^+ - \Delta w^+ + (w^- \cdot \nabla) w^+ = \nabla \pi, \operatorname{div} w^+ = 0, \\ w_t^- - \Delta w^- + (w^+ \cdot \nabla) w^- = \nabla \pi, \operatorname{div} w^- = 0, \\ w^+(x, 0) = w_0^+ \text{ and } w^-(x, 0) = w_0^-. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Part (A): multiplying both side of (19) by $w^+ |w^+|^2$, integrating by parts with the divergence-free condition, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |w^+|^4 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla |w^+|^2|^2 dx \\ = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} w^+ \cdot \nabla \pi |w^+|^2 dx = I. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Using the integration by parts and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \pi w^+ \cdot \nabla |w^+|^2 dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \pi^2 |w^+|^2 dx + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

By means of the Hölder, interpolation, and Sobolev embedding inequalities in the Lorentz spaces,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^{2q/(q-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} &\leq \left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{1-(3/2q)} \left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^{6,2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{3/4} \\ &\leq C \left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{1-(3/2q)} \left\| \nabla |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{3/2q}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, for a magnetic pressure, following the approach of Theorem 2.1 in [36], it is easy to check that

$$\|\pi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \leq C \left(\|w^+\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \|w^-\|_{L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right), 1 < p < \infty. \quad (23)$$

With the help of the Hölder inequality with estimates (22) and (23), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \pi^2 |w^+|^2 dx &\leq \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \|\pi\|_{L^{2q/(q-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^{2q/(q-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \left(\left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^{2q/(q-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| |w^-|^2 \right\|_{L^{2q/(q-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \right) \left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^{2q/(q-1),2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{2q/(2q-3)} \left(\left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(\left\| |w^+| |\nabla w^+| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-| |\nabla w^-| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

And thus, estimate (20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |w^+|^4 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^2 dx \\ \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{2q/(2q-3)} \left(\left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right) \\ + \frac{1}{8} \left(\left\| |w^+| |\nabla w^+| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-| |\nabla w^-| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |w^-|^4 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^-|^2 |w^-|^2 dx \\ \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{2q/(2q-3)} \left(\left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right) \\ + \frac{1}{8} \left(\left\| |w^+| |\nabla w^+| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-| |\nabla w^-| \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Summing (39) and (40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \left(|w^+|^4 + |w^-|^4 \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \left(|\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^2 + |\nabla w^-|^2 |w^-|^2 \right) dx \\ \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{2q/(2q-3)} \left(\left\| |w^+|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 + \left\| |w^-|^2 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Let $\mathfrak{N}(t) := \|w^+\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4 + \|w^-\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4$, and thus, (27) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{N}(t) \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^p \mathfrak{N}(t), p = \frac{2q}{2q-3}. \quad (28)$$

Applying Lemma 10 (with $a = b = 2, c_0 = 4$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi\|_{L^{q_\kappa,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p_\kappa} &\leq \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p(1-\kappa)} \|\pi\|_{L^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{4\kappa} \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p(1-\kappa)} \|\pi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{4\kappa} \\ &\leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p(1-\kappa)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{4\kappa}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where we use the following estimate in [37]:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^{p,q_2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} &\leq \left(\frac{q_1}{p} \right)^{(1/q_1)-(1/q_2)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|f\|_{L^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q_1 < q_2 \leq \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since the pair (p_κ, q_κ) also meets $2/p_\kappa + 3/q_\kappa = 2$, using estimate (29), (28) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{N}(t) \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q_\kappa,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p_\kappa} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^2 \leq C \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p(1-\kappa)} Y(t)^{1+2\kappa}. \quad (31)$$

And then integrating with respect to time, we get

$$\mathfrak{N}(t) \leq C \mathfrak{N}(0) + C \int_0^t \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p(1-\kappa)} \mathfrak{N}(s)^{1+2\kappa} ds, \quad (32)$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w^+(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4 + \|w^-(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4 \\ \leq C \|w_0^+\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4 + \|w_0^-\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4 + C \int_0^t \|\pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{p(1-\kappa)} \\ \cdot \left(\|w^+\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^4 + \|w^-\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{4(1+2\kappa)} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Due to Lemma 9, we complete the Proof of Theorem 1 under the assumption (A) in Theorem 1.

Part (B): for this, we use the argument in [16], which seems like simple method to deal with the pressure term.

Multiplying both side of (19) by $w^+|w^+|^{3r-4}$, we conclude that for $r \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3r-2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |w^+|^{3r-2} dx + \frac{4(3r-4)}{(3r-2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^{3r-4} dx \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \nabla \pi \cdot w^+ |w^+|^{3r-4} dx := II. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} II & \leq (3r-4) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\pi| |\nabla|w^+|| |w^+|^{3r-4} dx \\ & \leq \frac{2(3r-4)}{(3r-2)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\pi|^2 |w^+|^{3r-4} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}| dx \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Note that $0 \leq I \leq a$ and $0 \leq I \leq b$; then, $I \leq \sqrt{ab}$. Combin- ing (34) and (35), we get

$$\begin{aligned} II & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \nabla \pi \cdot w^+ |w^+|^{3r-4} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |p|^2 \left\| \right\|^{3r-3} dx \right)^{1/4} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}| dx \right)^{1/4} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} (|\nabla \pi| (|w^+|^2 + |w^-|^2) dx)^{(3r-3)/2} \right)^{2/3} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} (|\pi| (|w^+|^2 + |w^-|^2) dx)^{(3r-4)/2} \right)^{1/4} \\ & + \frac{3r-4}{(3r-2)^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\pi| (|w^+|^2 + |w^-|^{(3r-4)/2}) dx & \leq \|\pi\|_{L^{3r/2}}^2 \| |w^+| + |w^-| \|_{L^{3r/2}}^{(3r-4)/2}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla \pi| (|w^+|^2 + |w^-|^{(3r-3)/2}) dx & \leq \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^r} \| |w^+| + |w^-| \|_{L^{3r/2}}^{(3r-3)/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

we can know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |w^+|^{3r-2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^{3r-4} dx \\ & \leq \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^r}^{2/3} \| |w^+| + |w^-| \|_{L^{3r/2}}^{(3r-2)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

In a similar fashion, if you do it for equation (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |w^-|^{3r-2} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla|w^-|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^-|^2 |w^-|^{3r-4} dx \\ & \leq \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^r}^{2/3} \| |w^+| + |w^-| \|_{L^{3r/2}}^{(3r-2)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

After summing up (38) and (39), using the Sobolev embedding and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} (|w^+|^{3r-2} + |w^-|^{3r-2}) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \left(|\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 + |\nabla|w^-|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 \right) dx \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^+|^2 |w^+|^{3r-4} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} |\nabla w^-|^2 |w^-|^{3r-4} dx \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^{r,\infty}}^{2/3} \left(\| |w^+|^{(3r-2)/2} \|_{L^{6r/(3r-2),1}}^2 + \| |w^-|^{(3r-2)/2} \|_{L^{6r/(3r-2),1}}^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^{r,\infty}}^{2/3} \left(\| |w^+|^{(3r-2)/2} \|_{L^2}^{(1-(1/r))} \| |\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}| \|_{L^2}^{2/r} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \| |w^-|^{(3r-2)/2} \|_{L^2}^{(1-(1/r))} \| |\nabla|w^-|^{(3r-2)/2}| \|_{L^2}^{2/r} \right) \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^{r,\infty}}^{2r/(3r-3)} \left(\| |w^+|^{(3r-2)/2} + |w^-|^{(3r-2)/2} \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \left(|\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 + |\nabla|w^-|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 \right) dx \\ & \leq C \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^{r,\infty}}^{2r/(3r-3)} \left(\| |w^+|^{3r-2} \|_{L^{3r-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \| |w^-|^{3r-2} \|_{L^{3r-2}} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^3} \left(|\nabla|w^+|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 + |\nabla|w^-|^{(3r-2)/2}|^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Let $\mathfrak{R}(t) := \| |w^+|^{3r-2} \|_{L^{3r-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)} + \| |w^-|^{3r-2} \|_{L^{3r-2}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}$, and then, (40) becomes

$$\mathfrak{R}(t) \leq C \|\nabla \pi\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3)}^{2q/(3q-3)} \mathfrak{R}(t). \tag{41}$$

As the previous way, it allows us to finish the Proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 11. In part (B) of Theorem 1, adding the following conditions

$$|u||\nabla u|, |b||\nabla b| \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^3)), \quad (42)$$

we also can obtain $\nabla\pi \in L^{p,\infty}(0, T; L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))$ and

$$\|\nabla\pi\|_{L^{p,\infty}(0,T;L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+^3))} \leq \varepsilon, \text{ with } 2/p + 3/q = 3, 1 < q < \infty \quad (43)$$

(see [31] for a detailed proof). Condition (42) is too strong because it is regular condition of weak solutions to (1) (see, e.g., Lemma 7 in [38] or [39]).

Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

Jae-Myoung Kim was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2020R1C1C1A01006521).

References

- [1] G. Duvaut and J. L. Lions, "Inéquations en thermoélasticité et magnétohydrodynamique," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 241–279, 1972.
- [2] M. Sermange and R. Temam, "Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 635–664, 1983.
- [3] P. G. Fernández-Dalgo and P. G. L. Rieusset, "Weak solutions for Navier-Stokes equations with initial data in weighted L^2 spaces," 2019, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.11038>.
- [4] P. G. Fernández-Dalgo and O. Jarrín, "Existence of infinite-energy and discretely self-similar global weak solutions for 3D MHD equations," 2019, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.11267>.
- [5] M. Dai, "Non-unique weak solutions in Leray-Hopf class of the 3D Hall-MHD system," 2018, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.11311>.
- [6] T. Buckmaster and V. Vicol, "Nonuniqueness of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equation," *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 189, no. 1, pp. 101–144, 2019.
- [7] D. Chamorro, P.-G. Lemarie-Rieusset, and K. M. Pierre-Gilles, "The role of the pressure in the partial regularity theory for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 228, no. 1, pp. 237–277, 2018.
- [8] I. Kukavica, "Role of the pressure for validity of the energy equality for solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation," *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 461–482, 2006.
- [9] Y. Zhou, "Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations in terms of the pressure," *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 1174–1180, 2006.
- [10] S. Gala, Y. Sadek, and H. T. Sawano, "On the uniqueness of weak solutions of the 3D MHD equations in the Orlicz-Morrey space," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 92, no. 4, pp. 776–783, 2013.
- [11] S. Gala, M. A. Ragusa, Y. Sawano, and H. Tanaka, "Uniqueness criterion of weak solutions for the dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations in Orlicz-Morrey spaces," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 356–368, 2014.
- [12] M. A. Ragusa, "On weak solutions of ultraparabolic equations. Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, Part 1 (Catania, 2000)," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 503–511, 2001.
- [13] Y. Zhou, "Regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations in a generic domain," *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 328, no. 1-2, pp. 173–192, 2004.
- [14] Y. Zhou, "On regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3 ," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 134, pp. 149–156, 2005.
- [15] Y. Zhou, "On a regularity criterion in terms of the gradient of pressure for the Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n ," *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, vol. 57, pp. 384–392, 2006.
- [16] H. Duan, "On regularity criteria in terms of pressure for the 3D viscous MHD equations," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 947–952, 2012.
- [17] C. He and Y. Wang, "On the regularity criteria for weak solutions to the magnetohydrodynamic equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 238, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2007.
- [18] L. C. Berselli and G. P. Galdi, "Regularity criteria involving the pressure for the weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 130, no. 12, pp. 3585–3595, 2002.
- [19] L. C. Berselli and R. Manfrin, "On a theorem by Sohr for the Navier-Stokes equations," *Journal of Evolution Equations*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 193–211, 2004.
- [20] S. Bosia, V. Pata, and J. C. Robinson, "A weak- L^p Prodi-Serrin type regularity criterion for the Navier-Stokes equations," *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 721–725, 2014.
- [21] H. Kim and H. Kozono, "Interior regularity criteria in weak spaces for the Navier-Stokes equations," *manuscripta mathematica*, vol. 115, pp. 85–100, 2004.
- [22] N. C. Phuc, "The Navier-Stokes equations in nonendpoint borderline Lorentz spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 741–760, 2015.
- [23] H. Sohr, "A regularity class for the Navier-Stokes equations in Lorentz spaces," *Journal of Evolution Equations*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 441–467, 2001.
- [24] T. Suzuki, "Regularity criteria of weak solutions in terms of the pressure in Lorentz spaces to the Navier-Stokes equations," *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 653–660, 2012.
- [25] T. Suzuki, "A remark on the regularity of weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in terms of the pressure in Lorentz spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 75, no. 9, pp. 3849–3853, 2012.
- [26] S. Takahashi, "On interior regularity criteria for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations," *manuscripta mathematica*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 237–254, 1990.
- [27] H. Beirao da Veiga, "Concerning the regularity of the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations via the truncation method," in

- II. Équations aux dérivées partielles et applications*, pp. 127–138, Gauthier-Villars, Éd. Sci. Méd. Elsevier, Paris, 1998.
- [28] J.-M. Kim, “Remark on local boundary regularity condition of a suitable weak solution to the 3D MHD equations,” *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 2019, no. 32, pp. 1–11, 2019.
- [29] T. Suzuki, “Regularity criteria in weak spaces in terms of the pressure to the MHD equations,” in *Conference Publications 2011*, pp. 1335–1343, American Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 2011.
- [30] X. Jia and Y. Zhou, “A new regularity criterion for the 3D incompressible MHD equations in terms of one component of the gradient of pressure,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 396, no. 1, pp. 345–350, 2012.
- [31] X. Ji, Y. Wang, and W. Wei, “New regularity criteria based on pressure or gradient of velocity in Lorentz spaces for the 3D Navier–Stokes equations,” *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2020.
- [32] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser, Verlag, Basel-Boston, 1983.
- [33] R. O’Neil, “Convolution operators and $L(p, q)$ spaces,” *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 30, pp. 129–142, 1963.
- [34] M. Loayza and M. A. Rojas-Medar, “A weak- L^p Prodi-Serrin type regularity criterion for the micropolar fluid equations,” *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 57, article 021512, 2016.
- [35] B. Pineau and X. Yu, “On Prodi-Serrin type conditions for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 190, article 111612, 2020.
- [36] H.-O. Bae, H. J. Choe, and B. J. Jin, “Pressure representation and boundary regularity of the Navier-Stokes equations with slip boundary condition,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 244, no. 11, pp. 2741–2763, 2008.
- [37] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier Analysis*, Springer, 2nd edition, 2009.
- [38] K. Kang and J.-M. Kim, “Regularity criteria of the magnetohydrodynamic equations in bounded domains or a half space,” *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 253, no. 2, pp. 764–794, 2012.
- [39] K. Kang and J.-M. Kim, “Boundary regularity criteria for suitable weak solutions of the magnetohydrodynamic equations,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 266, no. 1, pp. 99–120, 2014.